

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Bengt ROTHMAN

GAU:

2834

SERIAL NO: 09/509,466

EXAMINER: ENAD, E.

FILED:

**JUNE 13, 2000** 

FOR:

ROTATING ELECTRIC MACHINE WITH MAGNETIC CIRCUIT

## REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME **UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.136**

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

It is hereby requested that a three month extension of time be granted to APRIL 11, 2002 for

filing a response to the Official Action dated: OCTOBER 11, 2001.

	responding	to	the rec	mirements	in	the	Notice	οf	<b>Δ 11</b> 0337	ahility	date	A.
ப	responding	w	me rec	lan cincins	ш	uic	MOUCE	VI.	Anow	aumiy	uaic	u.

☐ filing the Formal Drawings. The Issue Fee due

has been timely filed.

- responding to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application dated:
- ☐ filing a Notice of Appeal. A timely response to the final rejection, due

has been filed.

- illing an Appeal Brief. A Notice of Appeal was filed on:
- ☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Therefore, the fee amount shown below is reduced by one-half.

The required fee of \$920.00 is enclosed herewith by check and any further charges may be made against the Attorney of Record's Deposit Account No. 15-0030. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

40,073

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 11/98)

Registration No. Thomas J. Fisher

Bradley D. Lydle

Registration No. 44,681

I:\atty\tjf\9847\196946\196946.eot.wpd



# 10/Reg. for Recox. 4/17/02 Dawkins

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

**BENGT ROTHMAN** 

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2834

SERIAL NO: 09/509,466

FILED: JUNE 13, 2000

: EXAMINER: ENAD, E.

FOR: ROTATING ELECTRIC MACHINE

WITH MAGNETIC CIRCUIT

## **REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

Responsive to the Official Action mailed October 11, 2001, Applicant in the aboveidentified application respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejections set forth therein.

## **REMARKS**

Favorable reconsideration of this application in view of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-7 are pending.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1, 2, and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shildneck (U.S. Patent No. 3,014,139) in view of Elton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,853,565, hereinafter Elton); and Claims 3-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shildneck in view of Elton, and further in view of Starcevic (U.S. Patent No. 4,258,280).